DOI 10.37539/2949-1991.2026.38.3.006
УДК 004.85

Молдабаев Саркымбек Сарсембаевич,
Руководитель неправительственной организации,
«Центр устойчивого развития Очак»
Moldabaev Sarkytbek Sarsembaevich, Head of the NGO,
"Ochaq Center for Sustainable Development"

СИСТЕМЫ ОЦЕНКИ СТОИМОСТИ ТОКЕНОВ НА ОСНОВЕ ИИ С УЛУЧШЕННОЙ МНОГОМОДАЛЬНОЙ АРХИТЕКТУРОЙ МОДЕЛИ
AI TOKEN VALUATION FRAMEWORKS WITH ENHANCED MULTIMODAL MODEL ARCHITECTURE

Аннотация. Цифровые токены функционируют в сложной многомерной среде, где традиционные методы оценки стоимости оказываются недостаточными. В настоящей статье рассматриваются перспективные подходы к построению систем прогнозирования на основе искусственного интеллекта, способных одновременно обрабатывать разнородные источники данных: блокчейн-транзакции, ликвидность децентрализованных протоколов, сетевую активность и макроэкономические факторы. Показано, что интеграция механизмов внимания и многомодальной обработки данных существенно повышает точность прогнозов и позволяет учитывать смену рыночных режимов. Совмещение оценки стоимости с расчётом индексов устойчивости и риск-профилей делает такие системы практически применимыми для управления портфелями цифровых активов, регуляторного мониторинга и разработки торговых стратегий. Результаты исследования вносят вклад в формирование теоретической и прикладной базы анализа токенизированных рынков с использованием методов машинного обучения.

Abstract. Digital tokens operate in a complex, multidimensional environment where conventional valuation methods prove inadequate. This article explores advanced AI-based forecasting frameworks capable of simultaneously processing heterogeneous data sources, including blockchain transactions, decentralized protocol liquidity, network activity, and macroeconomic factors. The study demonstrates that integrating attention mechanisms with multimodal data processing substantially improves forecast accuracy and enables the system to account for shifts in market regimes. The combination of token valuation with stability indices and risk profiling makes such frameworks practically applicable for digital asset portfolio management, regulatory monitoring, and trading strategy development. The findings contribute to the theoretical and applied foundations of tokenized market analysis using machine learning methods.

Ключевые слова: Оценка стоимости токенов с помощью ИИ, многомодальное прогнозирование, макроэкономическая интеграция, риски цифровых активов, архитектура на основе механизма внимания, токенизированные экономики.

Keywords: AI token valuation, multimodal forecasting, macro integration, digital asset risk, attention based architecture, tokenized economies.

Introduction

Research on digital assets has moved from simple observations of price volatility to a more structured understanding of tokenized economies as complex systems. In this environment tokens no longer behave as isolated speculative instruments; they express protocol incentives, network participation, liquidity distribution and sensitivity to global macroeconomic cycles. Recent AI based valuation studies argue that frameworks will remain fragile as long as they rely on narrow data sources or static model assumptions and therefore propose architectures that match the structural complexity of token markets.

One line of work in AI tokenomics takes as a starting point the idea that token valuation is the outcome of a multidimensional feature space that includes on chain activity, network metrics, DeFi liquidity and off chain influences, and formalizes this space as a multimodal input vector processed by attention based architectures. Subsequent studies extend this logic to the integration of macroeconomic indicators, showing that interest rate regimes, global liquidity and risk sentiment have to be treated as first class inputs in blockchain forecasting systems rather than as background noise.

Parallel to theoretical and empirical studies, several patents describe concrete systems that implement these ideas. One invention focuses on adaptive digital asset risk assessment and builds a multi source platform that ingests on chain flows, market data, sanction lists, code audits and news in order to compute dynamic risk scores for tokens. Another patent presents an AI driven token value forecasting engine that fuses on chain, network, DeFi and off chain signals through a multi attention neural model designed for real time deployment. Together with articles on machine learning for crypto asset stability and on dynamic valuation in tokenized economies, these works form a coherent research program rather than separate contributions.

The aim of this article is to synthesize this program in the form of an integrated profile of AI token valuation frameworks based on enhanced multimodal architectures. The focus is not on biographical detail but on the internal logic of the models.

Second, it examines how macroeconomic and stability oriented features reshape the design of forecasting systems. Third, it reconstructs the enhanced model architecture that underlies her token valuation platform, highlighting the innovations that distinguish it from standard transformer or recurrent approaches. Finally, it discusses the implications of these frameworks for market participants and for the future of digital asset analytics.

Material and methods

The study is based on an analytical examination of scientific publications, monographs, and patent documentation that address AI based token valuation, digital asset risk analytics, and macro-integrated forecasting in tokenized economies. The primary materials include her monographs on predictive tokenomics, peer-reviewed articles on multimodal valuation and stability modeling of digital assets, and patents describing adaptive digital asset risk assessment systems and AI driven token value forecasting engines. These sources were complemented by a limited set of contemporary academic works on cryptocurrency valuation, multimodal machine learning, DeFi analytics, and macro-financial integration in digital markets to provide contextual comparison.

The methodological framework of the study combines several analytical approaches. A theoretical and conceptual analysis was applied to identify the key economic and algorithmic assumptions underlying the proposed valuation frameworks. System analysis was used to reconstruct the overall architecture of the AI driven platforms, including data ingestion, preprocessing, feature synchronization, encoding, fusion, forecasting, and deployment layers. Structural-functional analysis was employed to examine the role of individual architectural blocks such as on chain data encoders, network and DeFi feature extractors, macroeconomic integration modules, attention based fusion mechanisms, and stability assessment layers.

A comparative methodological approach was used to contrast the proposed multimodal architectures with traditional unimodal and price-centric forecasting models, including linear regression, autoregressive schemes, and standard neural network approaches. This comparison focused on the differences in data structure, feature representation, adaptability to regime changes, and interpretability of results. Elements of applied modeling were incorporated at the descriptive level to illustrate how the reconstructed architectures operate under different market regimes, including high-volatility periods, liquidity contractions, and macroeconomic shocks.

All materials were processed using qualitative analytical techniques. No direct numerical simulation or independent empirical training of models was conducted within this study. Instead, empirical results and performance characteristics were interpreted based on the published experimental findings and system descriptions contained in the analyzed sources. This methodological design allows for a coherent reconstruction of the enhanced AI token valuation architecture and for an objective assessment of its conceptual and applied significance within the field of digital asset analytics.

A distinctive feature of Tatyana Krestnikova's contribution is the insistence that token valuation cannot be separated from macroeconomic and risk considerations. In her article on integrating macro indicators into blockchain forecasting she shows that interest rates, global liquidity, inflation expectations and cross market volatility have measurable effects on digital asset behavior and that these effects are not fully captured by on chain or price based signals.

She models the future behavior of a token as a function of both blockchain level inputs and macro variables, and she explicitly addresses the problem of different time scales. Macroeconomic indicators move slowly or in discrete jumps, while on chain and market microstructure signals stream at high frequency.

To reconcile these dynamics she proposes a transformation layer that generates lagged and scenario based versions of macro features and feeds them into the same fusion mechanism that processes blockchain data. In mathematical terms the macro block becomes a modality with its own embedding and its own weight in the valuation function, rather than a static background parameter.

The second extension concerns stability and risk. In her work on machine learning for predicting crypto asset stability she develops a framework where stability is treated as a time dependent function of market, on chain, network, liquidity and sentiment features. Instead of modeling volatility directly she introduces a stability index derived from rolling volatility and liquidity fragility, and she uses this index as a target for machine learning models. The result is a risk sensitive layer that can be combined with valuation forecasts.

This risk orientation becomes concrete in the patent for an adaptive digital asset risk assessment system. The invention describes a platform that continuously ingests blockchain data, market signals, sanction lists, code audit results and news feeds, and it uses graph neural networks, anomaly detectors, ensemble classifiers and NLP models to produce token level risk scores. What is important for valuation is not only the existence of these scores but the way they are computed. The system relies on multi source modeling and dynamic weighting, very similar to the valuation architecture. It can therefore supply real time risk signals that are structurally compatible with the forecasting engine.

Across these works Tatyana Krestnikova develops the idea that valuation and risk assessment should not be separated. A token valuation framework that ignores macro conditions or stability signals will misrepresent the true state of the ecosystem, especially around turning points. Conversely, risk models that ignore the underlying economic and liquidity structure of tokens will misclassify assets during regime shifts. Her answer is to design architectures where value forecasts, stability indices and risk scores share a common multimodal backbone.

The enhanced model architecture that emerges from this research is presented most explicitly in the patent on an AI driven token value forecasting engine and in the article on dynamic valuation models for tokenized economies. At a high level the system is organized into three layers: a data and synchronization layer, a model layer with specialized encoders and attention based fusion, and a serving layer for real time inference and monitoring.

In the data and synchronization layer the engine ingests four main groups of signals. The first group consists of on chain metrics such as transaction counts, gas usage, token transfers and balances. The second group covers network level indicators including wallet growth, address activity, clustering and validator participation. The third group focuses on DeFi metrics: total value locked across protocols, liquidity pool compositions, lending and borrowing rates, collateral ratios and slippage sensitivity. The fourth group aggregates off chain signals such as fund flows, portfolio reallocations, macro indices and news derived risk sentiment.

These streams are aligned on a common temporal grid by a synchronization module that performs timestamp harmonization, anomaly filtering and interpolation of missing values. Normalization and transformation steps follow, including z score scaling, log transformations for heavy tailed variables and construction of composite features like token velocity or liquidity fragility. The goal is to produce structured feature matrices for each modality that can be processed efficiently by the model layer.

Within the model layer each modality is handled by a dedicated encoder. Transactional and market sequences feed into temporal encoders based on transformers or temporal convolutions. Network structures are represented as graphs and processed by graph neural networks when structural information is available. DeFi and macro blocks are mapped by feedforward or hybrid architectures that emphasize cross feature interactions. The output of each encoder is a latent vector that captures modality specific information at a given time step or over a window.

These latent vectors enter an attention based fusion module which is the core of the enhanced architecture. Instead of simple concatenation, Tatyana uses multi head attention to compute both temporal and cross modal relevance scores. Each attention head can specialize in a subset of modalities or horizons. The fusion module produces a single enriched representation that reflects not only the content of each modality but also their relative importance under current market conditions. A self supervised enrichment component reconstructs parts of the input or hidden state, which helps the model learn robust embeddings and maintain performance under noisy or incomplete data.

From this fused representation the forecasting head generates multi horizon predictions. The engine can output short horizon forecasts for intraday trading, medium horizon paths for portfolio allocation and longer horizon trajectories relevant for strategic risk management. In some implementations each horizon has its own output branch with horizon specific weighting of modalities, consistent with her empirical finding that on chain and liquidity signals dominate short horizons while macro factors gain influence over longer periods.

In parallel, auxiliary heads compute stability scores and risk indicators based on the same fused representation or on modality specific embeddings. This coupling ensures that valuation outputs are immediately accompanied by measures of uncertainty and vulnerability, which can be used by exchanges, funds or regulators when calibrating exposure and leverage.

The model architecture proposed by Tatyana would remain a theoretical structure without the surrounding engineering framework that turns it into an operational system. Her works on applied token analytics consistently emphasize that forecasting engines must function as living components of a larger analytical environment, not as isolated scripts or offline models. This systems mindset appears both in her monograph and in the patents where she describes the mechanisms of ingestion, control, versioning and monitoring that keep the valuation framework accurate and stable in production settings (Krestnikova, 2026c).

A central part of this engineering logic is the construction of a continuous data processing pipeline. Instead of relying on batch updates or manual data preparation, the platform processes new information as it arrives from blockchain nodes, market APIs, liquidity aggregators, macroeconomic sources and risk intelligence feeds. Each input stream passes through a pre processing block that identifies irregularities, aligns timestamps and generates multiple temporal resolutions. The principle behind this design is that token markets react to events on very different scales: fast shifts in liquidity pools, medium term network restructuring, slow changes in macro conditions. A static resolution would distort at least one of these layers. The pipeline therefore produces a tiered data representation that mirrors the multi scale nature of the ecosystem, allowing the model to read each segment with the appropriate temporal sensitivity.

The monitoring subsystem forms another essential layer. Tatyana Krestnikova describes in her patents a system where both the data pipeline and the forecasting modules are continuously checked for drift. Feature drift detection identifies when distributions of on chain, network or macro variables diverge from historical patterns. Model drift detection evaluates whether forecasting errors cluster in particular regimes or modalities. When either form of drift crosses predefined thresholds the system triggers a retraining protocol that rebuilds model parameters on updated data while preserving the structural architecture. This ensures that the forecasting engine remains adaptive without sacrificing methodological continuity.

Model deployment follows a controlled workflow. The platform keeps versioned models, each associated with its training window, hyperparameters, performance metrics and fusion configurations. Before a model is promoted to production it undergoes simulation on a library of historical stress periods: liquidity shocks, network congestions, de anchoring of stablecoins, macro volatility episodes. This step captures the regime dependent interactions that define token markets. It also reflects her view that validation must reflect structural rather than purely statistical stability. A model that performs well only in calm regimes would distort valuation signals when they matter most (Rochet & Tirole, 2003).

Finally, the serving layer is designed for real time use. The forecasting engine exposes a set of endpoints that can be consumed by exchanges, funds, analytics platforms or regulatory dashboards. Forecasts are accompanied by decomposition information that indicates the contribution of each modality to the output. For instance, a rising token forecast may be driven primarily by liquidity expansion or by changes in macro sentiment. This interpretability mechanism is not an add on feature. It is built into the architecture through the attention maps and auxiliary modules described in her patents. In practice this creates a transparent valuation tool rather than a black box model, which is essential for adoption in institutional environments.

The practical uses of the framework cover several domains of the digital asset ecosystem. In trading environments the multi horizon forecasts support portfolio rebalancing, liquidity provisioning and options pricing. The fusion of on chain, network and macro signals allows the model to react not only to immediate flows but also to structural changes in protocol behavior or risk appetite. Funds that operate cross asset strategies can integrate the platform’s outputs into allocation models that already incorporate equities, commodities and macro factors, aligning token exposure with broader risk cycles (Krestnikova, 2025.b).

For token issuers and protocol developers the valuation framework serves as a diagnostic tool. On chain and network embeddings highlight where protocol activity is weakening or fragmenting. Stability and risk indicators signal vulnerabilities in liquidity or governance structures. Because the architecture ties these observations to macro variables it also identifies when external conditions rather than protocol specific factors drive valuation shifts. This distinction is essential for long term planning and for understanding whether interventions should target internal mechanics or external positioning (Krestnikova, 2026b).

Regulatory applications emerge from the risk assessment modules built on the same architecture. Supervisory bodies can use the fused signals to monitor concentration risk, liquidity fragility and exposure channels in tokenized markets. The system’s capacity to combine blockchain level data with macroeconomic indicators and news derived sentiment reflects the increasing need for integrated oversight of digital asset ecosystems. Instead of tracking isolated metrics regulators obtain a coherent picture of systemic signals and can anticipate stress accumulation before it becomes visible through price volatility alone.

The platform also has methodological implications for the study of digital assets. By treating token valuation as a multimodal problem Tatyana moves the discussion beyond price centric paradigms. Her architecture demonstrates that blockchain analytics gains explanatory power when integrated with macroeconomics, network theory and machine learning design. The attention based fusion mechanism serves as an empirical tool for identifying which modalities dominate under different regimes. In stable markets liquidity and on chain activity may be the primary drivers. In macro sensitive periods interest rates or risk sentiment may take precedence. This dynamic weighting challenges the idea that token markets operate under a single dominant mechanism and instead supports a regime switching interpretation of valuation (Krestnikova, 2025.a).

Across the empirical results presented in her monograph and articles, one constant appears: multimodal models consistently outperform single modality or pre aggregated models. This pattern holds for volatility regimes, liquidity shocks and periods of structural realignment in DeFi markets. The engineering and architectural choices therefore reflect not only conceptual reasoning but also repeated empirical validation. The enhanced architecture is not an aesthetic re design; it emerges from the problem structure of digital asset behavior.

The contribution of Tatyana Krestnikova's work stands at the intersection of token economics, machine learning and systems engineering. Its distinctiveness lies not in isolated elements but in the way they are combined. Existing approaches often excel in one domain while remaining limited in the others. Traditional financial modeling brings economic reasoning but fails to incorporate multimodal blockchain signals. Pure machine learning pipelines handle high dimensional data but often ignore the economic and institutional structure of tokens. Network and on chain analytics capture protocol behavior but remain largely disconnected from macro conditions (Krestnikova, 2026a).

Her patents provide an additional layer of originality because they translate conceptual insights into deployable systems. They describe how multimodal data can be synchronized, encoded, fused and served under operational constraints. They also incorporate risk and stability elements that respond to supervisory and institutional needs. This combination of theoretical, empirical and engineering dimensions is relatively uncommon in digital asset research, which often remains fragmented across disciplinary boundaries (Harvey et al., 2021).

At the same time her work aligns with several contemporary trends. The move towards multimodal architectures mirrors developments in broader machine learning fields. The inclusion of macro indicators reflects increasing recognition of cross market linkages in digital asset behavior. The use of graph neural networks and attention mechanisms parallels advances in network science and sequence modeling. Yet her frameworks maintain a specific orientation toward the structural characteristics of token economies rather than general machine learning experimentation. This grounding gives her models a practical focus that aligns with the needs of exchanges, funds, developers and regulators.

Results and discussion

The analysis of the scientific publications and patent documentation demonstrates that the examined AI token valuation frameworks form a coherent multimodal system in which digital asset value is modeled as a dynamic function of several heterogeneous data groups. The reconstructed architecture consistently integrates on chain transactional metrics, network activity indicators, DeFi liquidity parameters, and macroeconomic variables within a unified adaptive structure. This confirms that token valuation in her approach is not treated as a purely price-based time series problem but as a multidimensional regime-dependent process.

The results show that the introduction of modality-specific encoders followed by attention-based fusion allows the model to dynamically redistribute the influence of different data blocks depending on market conditions. During periods of elevated on chain activity and liquidity growth, the highest attention weights are assigned to transactional and DeFi features. In contrast, under conditions of heightened macroeconomic uncertainty, the relative contribution of interest rates, global liquidity indices, and risk sentiment indicators increases. This adaptive reweighting mechanism ensures structural sensitivity of the valuation model to changing market regimes (Fang et al., 2022).

It is also established that the incorporation of self-supervised feature enrichment improves the stability of latent representations in the presence of noisy, incomplete, or asynchronous data streams. The reconstructed architectural solutions indicate that auxiliary reconstruction objectives enhance the robustness of multimodal embeddings and reduce the propagation of local anomalies from individual data sources into final valuation forecasts.

The integration of a dedicated stability and risk assessment layer with the valuation model produces a dual-output system that simultaneously generates price forecasts and dynamic risk indicators. The analyzed materials confirm that this coupling makes it possible to identify phases in which positive valuation dynamics are accompanied by rising fragility of liquidity or network structure, as well as periods where declining prices coincide with improving systemic stability. This result is methodologically significant because it demonstrates that value and risk in tokenized economies evolve asynchronously and must be modeled jointly rather than independently (Krestnikova, 2025.c).

Comparative assessment with traditional unimodal forecasting approaches shows that the multimodal architectures described by T. Krestnikova provide higher structural adequacy in capturing nonlinear interactions between blockchain activity, market microstructure, and macroeconomic drivers. The reviewed empirical results indicate more stable predictive performance across volatility regimes, including sharp liquidity contractions and macro-driven market shifts, where price-only models exhibit pronounced degradation (Chen et al., 2023).

At the level of systems implementation, the results confirm the feasibility of deploying the proposed architectures in real-time environments. The described data pipelines, drift detection mechanisms, and controlled retraining procedures support continuous operation under streaming conditions without loss of model consistency. The presence of interpretability mechanisms based on attention weight decomposition further ensures transparency of valuation signals for institutional users.

Conclusion

The examined body of work forms a structured contribution to the development of AI based token valuation frameworks. Through monographs, articles and patents she constructs an architecture that reflects the multimodal, macro sensitive and dynamically shifting nature of digital asset markets. Her enhanced model design integrates on chain, network, DeFi and off chain signals into a unified representation processed by attention based fusion and supported by self supervised enrichment mechanisms. Surrounding this core model is a systems engineering structure that manages data ingestion, synchronization, drift detection, retraining and real time deployment.

Beyond its technical design the framework influences how token valuation is understood at a conceptual level. It moves the field away from narrow price based models and toward a richer interpretation where valuation, stability and risk interact across multiple layers of market structure. It situates token analytics within the broader context of macroeconomic cycles and cross market flows. It also offers practical tools for institutions that require transparent and continuously adaptive forecasting systems.

References:

1. Krestnikova, T. S. (2026). Dynamic assessment of tokenized economies using multi-source machine learning frameworks. International Journal of Innovative Research in Computer Science & Technology (IJIRCST), 14(1). https://doi.org/10.55524/ijircst

2. Krestnikova, T. S. (2026). A theoretical framework for predicting the stability of crypto assets based on machine learning. International Journal of Innovative Research in Computer Science & Technology (IJIRCST), 14(1), 89–93. https://doi.org/10.55524/ijircst.2026.14.1.11

3. Krestnikova, T. S. (2026). Integrating macro indicators into blockchain forecasting systems. International Journal of Engineering Research and Advanced Technology (IJERAT), 12(1). https://doi.org/10.31695/IJERAT

4. Chen, Y., Liu, S., & Sun, W. (2023). Multi-modal deep learning for cryptocurrency price forecasting. Expert Systems with Applications, 217, Article 119522. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2022.119522

5. Fang, F., Ventre, C., Basios, M., Kanthan, L., Martinez-Rego, D., Wu, F., & Li, L. (2022). Cryptocurrency trading: A comprehensive survey. Financial Innovation, 8(1), 1–59. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40854-021-00312-9

6. Harvey, C. R., Ramachandran, A., & Santoro, J. (2021). DeFi and the future of finance. Journal of Alternative Investments, 24(3), 6–32. https://doi.org/10.3905/jai.2021.1.123

7. Krestnikova, T. (2025). Macro tokenomics: AI-based forecasting for the global digital economy. LAP LAMBERT Academic Publishing.

8. Krestnikova, T. (2025). Adaptive risk analytics for decentralized finance. LAP LAMBERT Academic Publishing.

9. Krestnikova, T. (2025). AI tokenomics: Predictive systems for digital asset valuation. LAP LAMBERT Academic Publishing.

10. Rochet, J. C., & Tirole, J. (2003). Platform competition in two-sided markets. Journal of the European Economic Association, 1(4), 990–1029. https://doi.org/10.1162/154247603322493212.